


<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b> <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Application Number	10/788,491
	Filing Date	March 1, 2004
	First Named Inventor	MOORE, Brian
	Art Unit	2829
	Examiner Name	NGUYEN, Jimmy
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	11157-73

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): return receipt postcard
<b>Remarks</b>		


SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm	Bereskin & Parr		
Signature			
Printed Name	Tony R. Orsi		
Date	July 25, 2005	Reg. No.	55,831

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.			
Signature			
Typed or printed name		Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

# Bereskin & Parr

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW



App. No. 10/788,491

Summary of Telephone Interview of: June 28, 2005

Tony R. Orsi

B.A.Sc., M.A.Sc. (Elec. Eng.)

416 957 1603 [torsi@bereskinparr.com](mailto:torsi@bereskinparr.com)

Appl. No : 10/788,491  
Confirmation No. : 7561  
Applicant : MOORE, Brian  
Filed : March 1, 2004  
Title : WIRELESS RADIO FREQUENCY DESIGN AND  
METHOD FOR TESTING OF INTEGRATED  
CIRCUITS AND WAFERS  
TC./A.U. : 2829  
Examiner : Jimmy Nguyen  
Docket No. : 11157-73  
Customer No. : 001059

Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

The following is a summary of a telephone interview with Examination Supervisor Nestor R. Ramirez on Tuesday, June 28, 2005. Applicant notes that the due date to provide the summary is August 7, 2005. Accordingly, this Telephone Interview Summary is timely filed.

Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West, 40th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 3Y2

Tel: 416.364.7311 Fax: 416.361.1398 [www.bereskinparr.com](http://www.bereskinparr.com)

TORONTO MISSISSAUGA WATERLOO MONTREAL

**Interview Summary:**

Examination Supervisor Ramirez called the undersigned on Tuesday, June 28, 2005 to discuss a course of action to take to ensure that an Office Action response for the subject application was properly received and considered by the USPTO.

The undersigned and Examination Supervisor Ramirez first discussed the recent course of events for the subject application outlined as A, B and C below.

A. The undersigned indicated that we had received a call on Friday, June 24, 2005, from Examiner Jimmy Nguyen who contended that the USPTO did not receive a response to an Office Action of November 4, 2004 for the subject application. Examiner Nguyen then inquired whether the Applicant wished to let the subject application go abandoned.

B. In response, we told Examiner Nguyen that the Applicant did not want to abandon the subject application. Further, we checked our records and found that a response to the Office Action was faxed to the USPTO on January 27, 2005. An auto-reply facsimile transmission was received from the USPTO proving receipt of the facsimile transmission of the response.

C. Examiner Nguyen then indicated that the Applicant had erroneously faxed the response directly to the Examiner rather than using the central fax number for the technical art unit for which the subject application was assigned. Examiner Nguyen stated that because the response was not faxed to the central fax number for the technical art unit in question, the response was not properly entered in the USPTO records.

After a discussion of the above facts, Examination Supervisor Ramirez noted that, although the response was incorrectly faxed directly to Examiner Nguyen,


Examiner Nguyen should have told the Applicant to refax the response using the central fax number.

Examination Supervisor Ramirez then stated that he would accept the original response as being timely filed if the undersigned sent him the original response and proof that the USPTO had received the original response. The undersigned faxed these documents to Examination Supervisor Ramirez on June 28, 2005.

**Conclusion**

If the Examiner has any further concerns, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at 416-957-1603.

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Tony R. Orsi  
Reg. No. 55,831  
Tel: 416-957-1603  
Bereskin & Parr,  
Customer No. 001059